UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	x	
JAMAAL RUSS	Plaintiff,	ANSWER TO THE COMPLAINT
-against-		Jury Trial Demanded
		10 CV 1370 (SLT)(JO)
	Defendant.	
	x	

Defendant Detective Chavers, by his attorney, Michael A. Cardozo, Corporation Counsel of the City of New York, for his answer to the complaint, respectfully alleges, upon information and belief, as follows:

- 1. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph "1" of the complaint.
- 2. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph "2" of the complaint, except admits that plaintiff purports to invoke the jurisdiction of the Court as stated therein.
- 3. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph "3" of the complaint, except admits that plaintiff was arrested on or about March 14, 2008 for Attempted Murder in the 2nd Degree, Possession of a Loaded Firearm, Assault in the 2nd Degree, and Assault in the 3rd Degree, and that plaintiff's criminal case terminated in an acquittal on or about March 20, 2009.
- 4. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph "4" of the complaint, except admits that plaintiff purports to seek damages as stated therein.

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

5. The complaint fails to states a claim upon which relief can be granted.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

6. Defendant has not violated any rights, privileges or immunities under the Constitution or laws of the United States, the States of New York or any political subdivision thereof.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

7. At all times relevant to the acts alleged in the complaint, defendant acted reasonably in the proper and lawful exercise of his discretion.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

8. Any injury alleged to have been sustained resulted from plaintiff's own culpable or negligent conduct, and/or that of third parties, and was not the proximate result of any act of the defendant.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

9. There was probable cause for plaintiff's arrest, detention, and prosecution.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

10. Plaintiff provoked any incident.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE:

11. Plaintiff's claims may be barred, in whole or in part, because plaintiff failed to comply with all conditions precedent to suit.

AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

12. Plaintiff may have failed to comply with New York General Municipal Law §§ 50-e, 50-i and/or 50-h.

AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

13. Defendant Detective Chavers has not violated any clearly established constitutional or statutory right of which a reasonable person would have known and therefore is protected by qualified immunity.

WHEREFORE, defendant Detective Chavers requests judgment dismissing the complaint in its entirety, together with the costs and disbursements of this action, and such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated:

New York, New York

August 4, 2011

MICHAEL A. CARDOZO
Corporation Counsel of the
City of New York
Attorney for Defendant Detective Chavers
100 Church Street, Room 3-156
New York, New York 10007
(212) 442-0832

By:

LISA M. RICHARDSON

Assistant Corporation Counsel

TO: BY MAIL

Jamaal Russ Plaintiff Pro Se

706 Jefferson Avenue, Apt. #1

Brooklyn, NY 11221

Index No. 10 CV 1370 (SLT)(JO)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
JAMAAL RUSS
Plaintiff,
-against-
DARRELL CHAVERS,
Defendant
ANSWER TO THE COMPLAINT
MICHAEL A. CARDOZO Corporation Counsel of the City of New York Attorney for Defendant Detective Chavers 100 Church Street New York, N.Y. 10007
Of Counsel: Lisa M. Richardson Tel: (212) 442-0832
Due and timely service is hereby admitted.
New York, N.Y, 201
Attorney for